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Abstract

Monitoring infections in vectors such as mosquitoes, sand flies, tsetse flies, and ticks to identify human pathogens may serve as an early warning detection system to direct local government disease preventive measures. One major hurdle in detection is the ability to screen large numbers of vectors for human pathogens without the use of genotype-specific molecular techniques. Next generation sequencing (NGS) provides an unbiased platform capable of identifying known and unknown pathogens circulating within a vector population, but utilizing this technology is time-consuming and costly for vector-borne disease surveillance programs. To address this we developed cost-effective Ilumina® RNA-Seq library preparation methodologies in conjunction with an automated computational analysis pipeline to characterize the microbial populations circulating in Culex mosquitoes (Culex quinquefasciatus, Culex quinquefasciatus/pipiens complex hybrids, and Culex tarsalis) throughout California. We assembled 20 novel and well-documented arboviruses representing members of Bunyaviridae, Flaviviridae, Ifaviridae, Mesoniviridae, Nidoviridae, Orthomyxoviridae, Parvoviridae,
Reoviridae, Rhabdoviridae, Tymoviridae, as well as several unassigned viruses. In addition, we mapped mRNA species to divergent species of trypanosoma and plasmodium eukaryotic parasites and characterized the prokaryotic microbial composition to identify bacterial transcripts derived from wolbachia, clostridium, mycoplasma, fusobacterium and campylobacter bacterial species. We utilized these microbial transcriptomes present in geographically defined Culex populations to define spatial and mosquito species-specific barriers of infection. The virome and microbiome composition identified in each mosquito pool provided sufficient resolution to determine both the mosquito species and the geographic region in California where the mosquito pool originated. This data provides insight into the complexity of microbial species circulating in medically important Culex mosquitoes and their potential impact on the transmission of vector-borne human/veterinary pathogens in California.
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<thead>
<tr>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dB</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOE</td>
<td>Department of Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNL</td>
<td>Sandia National Laboratories</td>
</tr>
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1. INTRODUCTION

The vast majority of emerging/re-emerging pathogens causing epidemics/epizootics worldwide are maintained in zoonotic or vector-borne transmission cycles (refs). Globalization of commerce, altering human migration patterns, and climate change have contributed to the increased outbreak frequency and expanded geographic distribution of vector-borne pathogens worldwide. Mosquito-borne disease surveillance is an important strategy to identify and monitor important human and veterinary vector-borne pathogens circulating in nature prior to reported medical cases (refs). This early warning system can dampen pathogen transmissibility through the rapid implementation of adequate vector-control measures and public awareness strategies prior to reaching epidemic/epizootic levels. Labor-intensive workflows and limited resources for pathogen detection assays that rely on a priori knowledge of the pathogen sequence reduce the overall effectiveness and scope of mosquito surveillance programs.

The California vector control districts in collaboration with the Center for Vector-borne Diseases (CVEC) at UC Davis provides logistical disease surveillance and mosquito population control measures to the state of California. There are vector control districts in California and the majority of them screen culex mosquito pools (n=50 mosquitoes/pool) for pathogenic arboviruses such as West Nile virus, St. Louis encephalitis virus, and Western equine encephalitis virus using quantitative PCR. During the summer of 2012 California experienced a resurgence of West Nile virus activity leading to one of the largest epidemics on record since its introduction in 2004. The mosquito districts reported 2814 WNV positive mosquito pools and 380 confirmed human cases of WNV.

Deep sequencing technologies have been utilized to identify well characterized as well as highly divergent arthropod-borne viruses circulating in mosquito populations [1-3]. Even though RNA viruses have been shown to replicate to high titers (10^6 to 10^11 viral copies) within their adapted arthropod host, current viral discovery sequencing workflows enrich for intact virions prior to extracting the sample RNA/DNA nucleic acids and generating sequencing libraries [4]. These methods rely on viral propagation in cell culture, ultracentrifugation, sample filtration to remove host cells, and/or nuclease treatments to select for encapsidated viral genomic DNA/RNA [5,6]. While these techniques are effective for enriching for virions they lack the ability to detect non-encapsidated viral nucleic acids and non-viral pathogens (i.e. bacteria, fungi, and parasitic eukaryotic organisms) circulating in targeted mosquito populations.

We applied cost-effective RNA-Seq sample preparation protocols to rapidly generate Illumina® compatible cDNA libraries that are depleted of the abundant host ribosomal RNA background to enrich for rare microbial sequences circulating in vector populations. We selected 40 West Nile virus positive mosquito pools representing 10 mosquito pools from 4 geographically distinct locations (Coachella, Greater Los Angeles, Kern, and Sacramento counties). In addition, an automated computational pipeline was constructed to remove Culex-derived mosquito sequences, de novo assemble microbial contigs, identify the contigs using blastn/blastx, and visualize the microbial metagenomic composition using the Krona hierarchical data browser.
1.1. Materials and Methods

1.2. Mosquito RNA Isolation and RNA-Seq Library Preparation

Pools of 50 Culex mosquitoes (n=10) were collected at 4 distinct regions and RNA-Seq libraries for each location were processed on separate days. To extract total RNA 200uL of mosquito supernatant was added to 1mL of RNAzol (MRC), each sample was pulse vortexed for 10 seconds, the samples were allowed to incubate a RT for 10mins, then centrifuged at 16,000 x g at 4C for 15mins, and finally the aqueous layer was removed for subsequent total RNA clean-up using Directzol kit following the manufacturer’s instructions (ZymoResearch). Total RNA integrity was assessed using the RNA pico chip on a bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent technologies). All RNA was quantified by RNA Qubit (Invitrogen) and 50ng of total RNA was used as template for 1st strand cDNA synthesis as previously described [7]. Tagged cDNA was diluted 1:10 in NFH2O and quantified using sofast qPCR buffer (Bio-Rad) with PCR primers (PP_PCRI-5’CAGGACGCTGTCTCGTCTATGGG3’, PP_PCRII-5’CAGACGTGTGCTCTTCGCCATCT3’) on a CFX-96 (Biorad). Second-strand synthesis was carried out using Failsafe buffer E and limited PCR cycling conditions were determined by the qPCR ct threshold value for each cDNA reaction (~12 cycles/reaction). All double- stranded cDNA mosquito libraries were eluted in 15uL of NFH2O and quantified by the high sensitivity DNA Qubit kit (Invitrogen).

1.3. Rare RNA Transcript Sequence Enrichment

All Culex mosquito double-stranded cDNA libraries were subjected to Hydroxyapatite chromatography normalization to enrich for low abundant microbial sequences [8]. Briefly, cDNA libraries (100ng) were added to 1x hybridization buffer (insert formula) supplemented with 20% formamide and incubated at 98C for 3 minutes to denature double-stranded cDNA (ds-cDNA) followed by 5 hours at 68C to re-anneal high abundance DNA sequences. All samples were immediately added to a microspin column (Pierce Inc) containing 100uL of rehydrated/defined DNA grade hydroxyapatite HTP Bio-Gel (Bio-Rad), incubated for 3 minutes at 65C on column, washed 2x with 10mM NaPB to remove any unbound cDNA fragments, and the single-stranded cDNA (ss-cDNA) fraction was eluted off the column with 30uL100mM NaPB. All steps involved in the HAC chromatography protocol were performed in an incubator at 65C. The ss-cDNA fraction was cleaned up using 48uL Ampure XP beads (BD), eluted in 25uL NFH2O, and quantified by qPCR to determine optimal PCR cycling (between 16-20 cycles). Each library was assigned a unique barcode for multiplexing and custom Illumina® compatible sequencing adaptors with unique custom 9mer barcodes were incorporated by limited PCR based on qPCR ct values. 50uL PCR reactions containing 10uM of each adaptor primer (Adaptor I=5’AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACTTCGCTACACGGACGCTGTGTC GCTTATTGGG3’ and Adaptor II=5’CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATXXXXXXXGTGTCAGACGTGTCGCTCTTCCCATCT3’) and Failsafe buffer E (Epicentre) were used to synthesize final libraries. The 9mer unique barcode sequences implemented in this study for multiplexing are available.
All final cDNA libraries were size-selected using 0.7X/0.25X Ampure XP beads (Beckon Dickenson), eluted in 25uL NFH2O, and quantified by the high sensitivity dsDNA Qubit kit (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. 20ng of each mosquito library was combined (n=20) into two multiplexed cDNA libraries, concentrated on a IC spin column (Zymo), and the cDNA size distributions were visualized on the high sensitivity dsDNA chip using the bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent technologies). Multiplexed cDNA libraries were quantified by Kappa qPCR (Kappa Biosystems) following manufacturer’s instructions. All primers used to generate RNA-Seq libraries were HPLC purified (IDT).

1.4. Deep Sequencing and Raw Sequence QC

The 2 multiplexed libraries (n=20 mos pools/library) were loaded at 9PM with custom read 1 (5’-ACACTTCGCTACAGGACGCTGTTCCGTTCTATGGG3’), custom read 2 (5’-GTGGTCAGACGTTGCTCTTCCGATCTT-3’), and custom index read (5’-AGATCGGAAGACACGCACGTCTGACCAC-3’) primers spiked into the appropriate MiSeq/HiSeq primer wells and sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq (in-house) and HiSeq 2000 (Vincent Coates Genomic Library, UC Berkeley). 150 basepair paired-end (PE) libraries were generated for each MiSeq run and 100 basepair PE sequences were produced for the HiSeq runs. All libraries were inspected to ensure good quality, and custom Illumina compatible adaptors were removed.

1.5. Metagenomic Computational Pipeline

Following quality filter to remove low complexity sequences, all sequences were aligned to all Culex mosquito sequences via Bowtie-2 local aligner to remove high abundant eukaryotic and prokaryotic ribosomal and CDS RNA sequences, mosquito derived host sequences. All remaining unidentified sequences were subjected to de novo assembly using the Trinity assembler software program, all contigs larger than 500bps were compared to similar sequences found in the blastn/blastx databases. All identifiable contig information and the corresponding sequence contigs analyzed were visualized using Krona metagenomic software[9].

1.6. Quantitative PCR Conditions and Virus Primer Sequences

Total RNA extracted from each Culex mosquito pool (n=40) was utilized for all qPCR assays. Briefly, 50ng of total RNA was synthesized into cDNA and amplified using the I-Script 1-step RT-PCR kit with SYBR green under manufacturer’s instructions (Bio-Rad). All viral consensus sequences generated by de novo assembly were used as templates for the qPCR primers and all virus primers were designed via PrimerQuest software (IDT). RT-qPCR primers were generated to confirm the presence or absence of targeted arboviral RNA in each mosquito pool or C6-36 cell culture supernatant. A select number of arboviral qPCR primer sets were used to screen additional mosquito pool RNA (n=50/site) for the presence of target sequence. All mosquito RNA was tested in duplicate with 50ng total RNA as input per reaction
using 10uL reactions and quantified on a CFX 96-well qPCR machine (BioRad). All samples that generated C(t) values greater than 35 were considered negative or below the detection limit.

1.7. Cell Culture and Viral Growth Kinetics

Select Culex mosquito pool supernatants were inoculated onto an Aedes albopictus mosquito cell line (C6/36 cells) to determine ability of divergent viruses to propagate in cell culture. Since all 39 Culex mosquito pools sequenced were WNV positive according to the qPCR biosurveillance screening assay, we used anti-WNV polyclonal antibodies (1:2 mosquito supernatant:anti-WNV sera), incubated at 4C overnight, and infected C6/36 monolayers in T-25 tissue culture flasks. Viruses were allowed to adsorb for 1.5 hours at 37C with 5% CO2, the C6/36 cells were washed with PBS, and DMEM supplemented with 5% FBS was added to each flask. Viral supernatant was collect at timepoint 0 (fresh media on cells), 72 hours, and 168 hours frozen down at -80C prior to RNA extraction. All tissue culture experiments were performed in a BSL-3 certified laboratory at UC Davis. Cell culture RNA was extracted using RNAzol as described previously, and 50ng of total RNA per reaction (2X) was used for all viral qPCR assays that targeted RNA viruses that were identified in the original mosquito pool by deep sequencing.

1.8. Viral Genome Analysis and Coverage Maps

Naming of divergent virus genomes (n=13) was based on the various geographic locations where virus positive mosquito pools were collected with the exception of 4 viruses that were named after the mosquito species where the virus contig originated.

1.9. Spatial and Phylogenetic Analyses

All virus genome reference sequences used in the phylogenetic analyses were obtained from the NCBI GenBank sequence database. All amino acid and nucleic acid virus sequences were aligned by MUSCLE using the Geneious R6 software [10,11]. The best-fit DNA or protein model for each alignment was selected using MEGA5 analysis tools [12]. Alignments were visually inspected and misaligned regions were removed from downstream processing. Maximum Likelihood (ML) trees were generated using 1000 bootstrap replicates via the PhyML plugin program in the Geneious R6 software package [13].
2. RESULTS

2.1. Arboviral Sequence Enrichment and Genome Coverage

We compared culex mosquito RNA-Seq libraries with and without HAC normalization to determine the viral sequence enrichment when utilizing this methodology (table ??). Six culex mosquito pools (K3-quinq, K9-tar, S4-pip, S9- tar, G3-quinq, C7-tar) representing three culex species from 4 different locations were used in this analysis. Total sequence reads from each culex RNA-Seq library (-HACN, +HACN) were aligned to viral genomes identified in each pool from virominer deep sequencing results. Mean fold enrichment of virus sequences was 36-fold (range: 6-fold to 85-fold) and the magnitude of enrichment was dependent on the HACN effectiveness for each mosquito RNA-Seq library evaluated. We also tested the overall mean % coverage of each viral genome identified in each mosquito RNA-Seq library (figure ??). In all viral genomes analyzed (n=33), HACN treatment of the mosquito samples increased the raw number of reads that mapped to viruses and the overall mean coverage across each virus genome when compared to untreated mosquito RNA-Seq libraries generated with 3-5 times more sequence reads.

2.2. Culex Microbial Metagenomic Overview

We evaluated the overall microbial composition of culex mosquito species collected from four distinct geographic locations (Coachella, Kern, Greater Los Angeles, and Sacramento) in California. The microbial populations present in these culex mosquitoes were grouped by species and location to obtain a high level assessment of the various bacteria, parasites, and viruses circulating in each region. In addition to West Nile virus we detected arboviruses in all 39 mosquito pools we sequenced on the HiSeq and MiSeq systems. The greater depth obtained from the order of magnitude more sequences obtained on the HiSeq run.

2.3. Viral Contig Coverage Maps and Genome Analysis

We determined sequence homology by blast, genome structure, and genome size for all the viral contigs generated using virominer. Known endemic California arboviruses such as West Nile virus, Culex flavivirus, Umatilla virus, Negev virus, Hart park virus, Culex pipiens pallens densovirus, were found circulating in California culex mosquito populations. In addition, Nam Dinh virus, a member of the genus alphamesonivirus, previously isolated from Vietnam and China, was identified in California culex mosquitoes. By comparing viral contig sizes and blastx results, we identified 13 divergent viral genomes that contained low sequence homology (22% to 56% amino acid identity) to known viruses in GenBank. These viruses spanned 7 different virus families (Bunyaviridae, Iflaviridae, Flaviviridae, Orthomyxoviridae, Reoviridae, Rhabdoviridae, and Tymoviridae) as well as unassigned virus groups that include Negevirus, Sobemovirus, and Nudivirus. Viruses that encompass these families are known to infect invertebrates, vertebrates, and plants. Furthermore, they represent all classes of ssRNA(+ and – sense), dsRNA, ssDNA, dsDNA viruses that were sequenced using a RNA-Seq library preparation and HAC sequence enrichment protocols. All near full-length virus contigs
generated by Virominer that had sequence homology to known virus proteins in GenBank and similar gene structure/size were included in further virome analyses. Sequence coverage maps for each near full length viral contig were constructed by mapping the raw sequences from mosquito pools where consensus viral contigs were assembled by Trinity. No evidence of virus recombination events due to the de novo assembly were observed, the mapped sequences were evenly distributed across the viral contigs, and each virus genome had at least 10X sequence coverage (10X to 1000X range) for any given nucleotide position.

2.4. Virus Classification and Genome Annotation of Divergent Arboviruses

The near-full length virus genomes were translated and compared to all protein sequences available in GenBank using blastx. Based on amino acid sequence similarities, virus contigs were assigned to closest virus family, contig size ranges were determined for a match, and in some cases phylogenetic analyses were performed. The divergent virus genomes (<75% amino acid identity) were annotated in an attempt to further characterize each virus genome structure and to validate de novo assembled sequence contigs.

2.5. Spatial Analysis of California Culex Virome

All viral contigs identified by Blastx that represented near and/or full length genomes in the Cx. mosquito sample set were compiled and raw reads from each sample were mapped to each viral genome. By grouping mosquito pools based on their virome composition, the mosquito pools formed two distinct clades based on mosquito species; the culex tarsalis and the culex quinquasiatius /pipiens complex groups. Arthropod-borne viruses such as, Salton Sea virus, Mecca virus, Umatilla virus, Coachella virus, Elk Grove virus and Culex tarsalis Rhabdovirus, were only found in Cx. tarsalis mosquitoes while Wilton virus, Culex pipiens densovirus, Echo Park virus and Negev virus were only in Cx. quinqs/pipiens complex mosquitoes. In contrast, viral sequences that mapped to West nile virus, Culex bunyavirus, Nam Dinh virus, Box canyon virus, Wilton virus, and Cx. Tymovirus were found in both Cx quinqs and Cx tarsalis mosquito pools, but only from pools collected in Southern California, GRLA and COAV respectively. Culex flavivirus sequences were confined to Cx. mosquito populations in Kern and Sacramento while Culex bunyavirus sequences, Wilton virus and West Nile virus were identified in all samples sequenced.

2.6. Culex Bunyavirus Sequence Distribution and Geophylogeny

In order to determine the prevalence of culex bunyavirus in the California culex mosquito populations we designed Sybr green qPCR primer sets against the S, M, and L segments and tested 50 additional culex mosquito pools from each location. In concordance with the deep sequencing data, all the additional culex mosquito pools analyzed were positive for the culex bunyavirus L segment except two mosquito pools. The S segment was detected only in culex
mosquito pools that originated in Kern (X/50) and SAYO (X/50) while all mosquito pools from COAV (0/50) and GRLA (0/50) were negative. The culex mosquito pools that were positive for the S segment in Kern (X/50) and SAYO (X/50) were also positive for the M segment and all mosquito pools from COAV (0/50) and GRLA (0/50) were negative. A full-length genome alignment of culex bunyavirus L segments (n=36) was generated to determine if these viruses have acquired adaptive mutations that are restricted by species and/or geographic location. Geophylogenetic analysis of all full-length novel bunyavirus L segments generated by the virominer pipeline was performed (Figure ??). When comparing the full-length L segments isolated in different mosquito species, Cx. tarsalis, Cx pipiens, and Cx. quinqufasiatus, they formed two distinct overall clades. Viral sequences obtained from Cx. tarsalis mosquito pools formed one major clade and all Cx. quinqufasiatus/Cx pipiens pools grouped into another clade regardless of location. Although the Cx. pipiens mosquito pools that contained full-length viral L segments were limited (n=2), they still formed a subclade that clustered with the Kern Cx. quinqups phlebovirus L segment sequences. Bunyavirus L segments isolated from Cx. tarsalis mosquito pools formed distinct subclades within the major tarsalis clade indicating adaptive mutations were present. GRLA Cx. quinqups L segments clustered into a distinct subclade as well and the bunyaviruses from Kern Cx quinqups.
3. DISCUSSION

Mosquito surveillance to monitor vector-borne pathogens circulating in geographically defined regions allows for rapid and targeted vector control measures to lower mosquito populations therefore minimizing the organism’s epidemic/epizootic potential.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Total reads (million) UT</th>
<th>Virus</th>
<th>reads mapped</th>
<th>% reads mapped (HT)</th>
<th>genome coverage</th>
<th>genome coverage (HT)</th>
<th>Fold enrichment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K0-qtnq</td>
<td>2.640.759</td>
<td>Nam Dinh virus</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K3-qtnq</td>
<td>CAPhilbovirus</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K3-qtnq</td>
<td>CAGovirus</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K3-qtnq</td>
<td>CAFlavivirus</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K3-qtnq</td>
<td>Culex flavivirus</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K57tar</td>
<td>1.870.769</td>
<td>CASeemovirus</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K57tar</td>
<td>Flanders virus</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.596</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K57tar</td>
<td>CAPhilbovirus</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K57tar</td>
<td>CFlavivirus II</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>0.418</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K57tar</td>
<td>West Nile virus</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4-opp</td>
<td>2.490.849</td>
<td>CAGovirus</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4-opp</td>
<td>Negev virus</td>
<td>0.0778</td>
<td>0.449</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4-opp</td>
<td>Nam Dinh virus</td>
<td>0.0548</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4-opp</td>
<td>Culex flavivirus</td>
<td>0.00567</td>
<td>0.00602</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4-opp</td>
<td>CAPhilbovirus</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4-opp</td>
<td>West Nile virus</td>
<td>0.00422</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSg7tar</td>
<td>2.980.528</td>
<td>CAPhilbovirus</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSg7tar</td>
<td>Nam Dinh virus</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSg7tar</td>
<td>West Nile virus</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSg7tar</td>
<td>Culex flavivirus</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3-qtnq</td>
<td>1.690.432</td>
<td>Nam Dinh virus</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>67.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3-qtnq</td>
<td>CAPhilbovirus</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3-qtnq</td>
<td>CAGovirus</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3-qtnq</td>
<td>Culex denguevirus</td>
<td>0.00053</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3-qtnq</td>
<td>West Nile virus</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3-qtnq</td>
<td>CAPhilbovirus II</td>
<td>0.00035</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7tar</td>
<td>1.780.465</td>
<td>CFlavivirus II</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>70.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7tar</td>
<td>CASeemovirus</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7tar</td>
<td>CAPhilbovirus</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>0.402</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7tar</td>
<td>CArhabdovirus</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7tar</td>
<td>CATobamoovirus</td>
<td>0.00041</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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